

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Arnold J. Mandell, et al.

Serial Number: 10/777,829

Filing Date: February 11, 2004

Title: *Algorithmic Design of Peptides for  
Binding and/or Modulation of the Functions of  
Receptors and/or Other Proteins*

Group Art Unit: 1631

Examiner: John S. Brusca

CONFIRMATION NO: 5723

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT**  
**AND**  
**APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT**  
**UNDER 37 CFR §1.705**

Sir or Madam:

Applicant(s) hereby requests(s) that the Office reconsider the Patent Term Adjustment and adjust the Patent Term Adjustment determination for this application.

- ☐ This request is made AFTER the date of the Notice of Allowance;  
☐ This request is made ON or BEFORE payment of the issue fee.

--OR--

- ☐ This request is made after issuance of the patent and is accompanied by a Certificate of Correction.

--OR--

- ☒ This request is made within TWO months from the date of issuance of the patent.

The statement of facts involved specifying the correct Patent Term Adjustment and the bases for the adjustment are as follows:

- ☐ 37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(1). The Office is required to mail at least one of a notification under 35 U.S.C. §132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. §151 not later than fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. §111(a) or fulfilled the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §371 in an international application:

The date on which the application was filed: \_\_\_\_\_

The 14 month date on which the Office was to issue an  
Office Action or Notice of Allowance \_\_\_\_\_The actual date on which the first Office Action or Notice  
of Allowance was mailed \_\_\_\_\_

The difference for which Applicant should received credit \_\_\_\_\_

The Office credited Applicant \_\_\_\_ days, when it should have credited \_\_\_\_ days, as illustrated above.

- ☐ 37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(2). The Office is required to respond to a reply under 35 U.S.C. §132 or to an appeal taken under 35 U.S.C. § 134 not later than four months after the date on which the reply was filed or appeal was taken:

☐ Reply

The date of applicant's response to notice of rejection: \_\_\_\_\_

4 months from the date of response to the notice of rejection \_\_\_\_\_

The actual date on which the Office replied \_\_\_\_\_

The difference for which Applicant should received credit \_\_\_\_\_

The Office credited Applicant \_\_\_\_ days, when it should have credited \_\_\_\_ days, as illustrated above.

☐ Appeal

The date on which Applicant's filed completed Appeal Brief: \_\_\_\_\_

4 months from the date of the appeal brief \_\_\_\_\_

The actual date on which the Examiner's Answer or Notice of Allowance was mailed \_\_\_\_\_

The difference for which Applicant should received credit \_\_\_\_\_

The Office credited Applicant \_\_\_\_ days, when it should have credited \_\_\_\_ days, as illustrated above.

- ☐ 37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(3). The Office is required act on an application not later than four months after the date of a decision by the BPAI, or a decision by a Federal court where at least one allowable claim remains in the application:

The date of decision allowing at least one claim by the BPAI or Federal Court \_\_\_\_\_

4 months from the date of decision \_\_\_\_\_

The actual date on which the Office replied \_\_\_\_\_

The difference for which Applicant should received credit \_\_\_\_\_

The Office credited Applicant \_\_\_\_ days, when it should have credited \_\_\_\_ days, as illustrated above.

- ☐ 37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(3). The Office is required to issue a patent not later than four months after the date on which the issue fee was paid under 35 U.S.C. §151:

The date applicant paid issue fee: \_\_\_\_\_

4 months from the date of issue fee payment \_\_\_\_\_

The actual date on which the patent issued \_\_\_\_\_

The difference for which Applicant should received credit \_\_\_\_\_

The Office credited Applicant \_\_\_\_ days, when it should have credited \_\_\_\_ days, as illustrated above.

- ☐ 37 C.F.R. §1.702(b). The Office is required to issue a patent within three years from the actual filing date of the application:

The date on which the application was filed \_\_\_\_\_

3 years from the date the application was filed \_\_\_\_\_

The date upon which the patent issued \_\_\_\_\_

The difference for which Applicant should received credit \_\_\_\_\_

The Office credited Applicant \_\_\_\_ days, when it should have credited \_\_\_\_ days, as illustrated above.

- ☐ 37 C.F.R. §1.702(c). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance was delayed due to interference proceedings.

The date upon which the interference was declared \_\_\_\_\_

The date upon which the interference ended \_\_\_\_\_

The difference for which Applicant should received credit \_\_\_\_\_

The Office credited Applicant \_\_\_\_ days, when it should have credited \_\_\_\_ days, as illustrated above.

- ☐ 37 C.F.R. §1.702(d). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the application being placed under a secrecy order.

The date upon which the secrecy order was issued \_\_\_\_\_

The date upon which the secrecy order was removed \_\_\_\_\_

The difference for which Applicant should received credit \_\_\_\_\_

The Office credited Applicant \_\_\_\_ days, when it should have credited \_\_\_\_ days, as illustrated above.

- ☐ 37 C.F.R. §1.702(e). The Office is required to adjust the term of the original patent if the issuance was delayed to review by the BPAI or Federal court, if the decision reversed an adverse determination of patentability.

The date upon which the application was appealed to the BPAI or Federal Court \_\_\_\_\_

The date upon which the BPAI or Federal Court issued a decision reversing an adverse determination of patentability \_\_\_\_\_

The difference for which Applicant should received credit \_\_\_\_\_

The Office credited Applicant \_\_\_\_ days, when it should have credited \_\_\_\_ days, as illustrated above.

- ☒ 37 C.F.R. §1.703. The Office is entitled to adjust the sum of the patent term adjustment for Applicant delay. However, Applicant believes that the Office incorrectly deducted patent term adjustment.

The Office erroneously deducted 18 days for Applicant delay based on an Information Disclosure Statement that was electronically filed on April 6, 2007. The Office responded to the Information Disclosure Statement on April 23, 2007. The number of days between the period of April 6, 2007 and April 23, 2007 is 17 days, not 18 days. Applicant requests that this deduction be removed and the patent term be restored 1 day.

- ☐ 37 C.F.R. §1.704. The Office is entitled to reduce the period of patent term adjustment for Applicant delay:

Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement dated \_\_\_\_\_ was accompanied by a statement under 1.704(d) that each item of information contained in the statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application less than 30 days prior to filing the information disclosure statement.

Thus, applicant should be credited \_\_\_\_ days which were erroneously deducted from the patent term for applicant's delay.

- ☒ *Fee Authorization.* The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the petition fee under 37 C.F.R. §1.18(e) of \$0.00 and charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 23-2415 (Docket No.: 31010-701.301).

Respectfully submitted,

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

1 6 / 11 / 07

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Albert P. Halluin  
Registration No. 25,227

650 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050  
Telephone No.: (650) 493-9300  
Facsimile No.: (650) 493-6811  
Customer No.: 021971